Rev. S. Isaac, Leicester

Dublin August 30. 1861

My dear Rev Isaac

Your very welcome sentimentary letter reached me last Monday morning when I came into town with the Advocate for Shakespeare in my pocket ready to pass with the exception of an eventually made amend of corrections or alterations. Lo and behold me does I think your letter that I had it immediately set us with the proper emphasis to meet the public eye, & set the whole pulpit remedial, quality & the art critic of my own & other papers from Wm P's Wreck to which I referred. I send you the slip containing my omitted remarks - it is a Monique edition & it is worn Shakespeare would be worth a mint of money in London. The enclosed I would have taken Wm P's speech - in this centenary it contained the only passages of the speech worth attending to which I greatly doubted. All the other speeches as far as I remember them are. I am greatly shocked by the accusation enunciated by Wm P that England wants Peace & secretly helps the Slave States to crush Cuba & liberate the Rebel Slavers. If this be true, England & the British people are the basest, most hypocritical twisted people in the world - & then what becomes of the celebration of British Wealth & Freedom & the Income of Twenty millions Sterling - neither of which they or have ever benefited of that had no reason to be sorry for? - If it be untrue, was there ever a more cruel & blacker libel against a kindred nation - against the one to have ever but unfounded grounds for any complaint whatever. If I had been asked what man in the whole world, I am elderly man

esteemed & honored the man for his talents & his
virtues, I would have it over sand Wendell Phillips
and I am really grieved that he should have been
induced to use such language to unprovoked by
any tangible ground of complaint. Now observe
it is well known to him & to all of American writers
to England 99-100ths have been of the class which
in America are openly pro slavery & who though they
may slightly disguise their sentiments on this subject,
really have and do their influence to strengthen the
the system & to blacken the abolitionists. I have long
thought that the value of anti slavery efforts here was
more to counteract (however feebly) the baleful prejudices
& views of slavery by American citizens, than
that we could do much directly to assist Em. I
put it to Wendell Phillips if it was doubtful that the
vast flood of pro slavery clergy, planters, merchants,
manufacturers, literati, & monied men who as Ame-
ricans have had free access to & mixed with them in
London, enter in England, must have had a bane-
ful influence for evil in a country where gentlemen
are generally behind on these subjects, where the universal
prejudice & feeling of slaveholders & their abettors is not
investigated or allowed for. Then with your Republican
government has been for the last 4 years against
slavery (except very incidentally) and while
Yours never has been except the 2 of the abolitionists
have proclaimed the same policy how can we to blame

if we judge by what we see and again as the
dread of the government of the majority of the northern
people was to restore the Union without prejudice
to the guaranteed rights of the slaveholders; how
could you reasonably expect the majority of Englishmen
(even of the best the abolitionists) who know & understand
so little of your internal politics - to feel or express
any great enthusiasm or sympathy for the maintenance
of a proslavery union which has hitherto stood so
discreditably to the American people & so hostile to the
interests & liberties of civilized Mankind. As to the
circumstances you mention respecting the 100 fugitive
slaves who have been liberated by the American army
and the evident tendency of the war to abolish
slavery I admit the facts most fully but I say that
they are not apparent to Englishmen. They are
not to be easily gathered from American news-
papers which are little read in England and until very
lately they have not appeared at all in any official
documents of the Northern Government. - My own
observation & reading have been tolerably extensive &
my testimony is the majority of the higher classes of all the
states of English origin has been in favour of the South
with earnestly expressed wishes for their success & for
the maintenance of their union. The opponents of
slavery have the right that Northern success would lead
to a restoration of the United States of things - to have
merely some of the American abolitionists enlarged
their views - and that a peaceful separation of
parties would be best & cheapest for the North, ~~that~~
while the South would have room to make up its mind
to abolition in the face of the abhorrence of the
civilized world - when deprived of the support ~~that~~
the last hitherto so amply received from the Free States.
My own impression is that Southern men or men of Southern
European descent are unconquerable in their swamps, woods
and wooden fastnesses - that they could not be easily subdued

by any number of invaders of any country; and that if they were defeated in battle, the desperation of most Englishmen would stick to pistol the Invaders at any price — Then comes the pestilence, conflagrations, mortification. It is true that tariffs and cotton should not be put in comparison with slavery. But we must take men as we find them, and people who cry out where the whole finishes, and on the brink of millions in England is affected by these causes people will be influenced by these considerations no matter how mean they may be in comparison with more exalted ones. In this respect I maintain that England is not worse if she be no better than other nations. Upon the other hand admitting that your statement is not unfair to others in personal slavery — but facts have shown that the Irish armies are not quite descriptions of that you have so ably sketched. My own impression is that in real fighting provided the General be an able man & his troops be well trained stout handed, it is little matter what nations they belong to — and that this is the true explanation of the high estimate the world has always placed on great victors military talent. Napoleon, Wellington Hannibal; Caesar, Alexander, Marlborough were men of amazing talents, presence of mind, fertility of resources, & indomitable resolution — and in their hands disciplined troops were able for anything. The most intelligent & clement headed men I know express themselves (as I feel) astonished at the extent & intensity of American irritation against England & they ask what have we done that we could help doing? You agree that our Government have acted as they ought to do & to them I. As to the proslavery cause of some papers, their bad teachers & their penal & unprincipled writers & such the nation of 25 millions are to be justly blamed. A friend writes to me from Paris — an American — who strongly hates the whole nation & was utterly proslavery & unprincipled — & they I cannot in the least divine. — Mr McClean writes me to send him in addition to 2 not ordered 35 more of Ethan Brown. I am glad of this for his sake. It makes no difference to me personally. I think it better to add the photographs which cost only 3d each & is a great addition to the book. The Athenaeum which I have long known to be proslavery has [illegible] a most depreciatory notice, ridiculing